

Appendix 1

Schedule of Responses to review of Licensing Policy 2007

Part I

Reference	Respondent	Comments	Appraisal	Response
1	Robert Manning School, Bourne	None	None	None
2	Harlaxton village hall committee	None	None	None
3	K. Shinkins, Rose Inn Frogmire	Issues re temporary event notices - 10 day period	This is not relevant to the policy and is for Central Government to change primary legislation this is not expected in the foreseeable future	None
4	Debbie Barnes, Safeguarding Children Board - LCC	Issues re 1. Criminal convictions in respect of protection of children in respect of staff working in licensed premises 2. Employment by children	An appropriate amendment will be considered	Consideration of revision

5	Mr. & Mrs. Hussey of Stamford	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Issues re Town Council role in premises licensing process 2. Alcohol Disorder Zones (ADZs) 3. Specific premises application 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parish and town councils have the ability to comment on individual applications and the policy 2. The issue of Cumulative impact/special policies is addressed in section 6. The matter of Alcohol Disorder Zones awaits the enactment of new legislation and will require evidence of substantial problems in the area before such a zone can be created 3. This is in respect of an individual premise application and the comments are noted 	Policy revised
6	Mr. Lewis Clayton of Stamford	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Role of parish and town councils 2. Commends Pubwatch scheme 3. Commends Proof of Age scheme 4. Commends mediation between applicants and objectors 5. Recognises interagency policy re problems arising from food outlets 6. Issues re an individual premise 7. Alcohol disorder Zones 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See 5/1 above 2. Noted 3. Noted 4. Noted 5. Noted 6. Not relevant to policy 7. See 5/2 above 	See above re 1

		8. Glass bottle bans	8. This comment is essentially in respect of litter and street cleaning, but will be consider if there was a problem it could be addressed by a premises licence condition.	
7	J. McGuffie by email	Raise issues re underage drinking	Not relevant to policy but I have passed the comments to police and Trading Standards	None
8	Members of the public at the recent round of Local Forums verbal comments	Positive comments re work of the licensing team	None	None
10	Mr. Kingscott following local forum by email	Issues re access to public licensing register details	Not relevant to policy	None
11	I Fuller Bourne TCMP	1. Glass and bottle bans 2. ADZ Bourne town centre 3. Greater emphasis on Proof of Age schemes	1. The is little for support to apply such a ban that cannot be addressed by licensing conditions where appropriate to a particular premise 2. See 5 above 3. Noted this will be addressed in the revised policy	Amendments as necessary to the policy

12	<p>Three letters all essentially the same content - from Stamford community groups.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. St. Peter's community group 2. Barn Hill and All Saints' Place community group 3. Stamford N/hood panel 	<p>Each respondee makes comment that the implementation of the Act has not caused the level of concern first thought. Other comments are made in relation to crime figures and anti social behaviour, drinking in the street and the smoking ban.</p>	<p>In respect of each of the letters the comments made are noted, but do not directly affect the revision of the policy</p>	<p>Any relevant issues will be addressed in the policy.</p>
13	Mr. A.J Springett of Stamford	Letter is respect of issues relating to one specific premise	Not relevant to the review	None
14	British beer and pub association	<p>This response was received out of time but has been include. The author believes introduction of the Act has proved largely successful and the level of disorder is seen to have decreased. The author also raises comments that councils should be aware that matters outside of the policy and the Act should not be included.</p>	<p>It would seem that there has been a decrease in crime in the district but there is also a perception in some quarters that this is not the case. I do not believe that there is anything in the policy that is not relevant to the Act.</p>	None